

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/879,994	06/14/2001	Minoru Teshigawara	862.C2266	4699		
5514 75	10/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			HOFFMAN, E	HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			2136			
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/879,99	4	TESHIGAWARA, MINORU				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brandon S	. Hoffman	2136				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 silx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the pely received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. y period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATIO int, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2006</u> .							
•	Fhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-17,19 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_ 6)⊠	,—							
7)								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
Attachmo-	No.							
Attachmen  1) Notice	((s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ratent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/879,994 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-17, 19, and 21-23 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments, filed August 8, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. <u>Claims 1, 3, 5-11, 16, 17, 19, and 21-23</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Usami et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,814) in view of <u>Wong</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,504,941).

Regarding <u>claims 1, 17, and 22, Usami et al.</u> teaches and image processing apparatus/method/program comprising:

- Additional information generating means for generating additional information
   (fig. 11, ref. num 62); and
- Adding means for adding the additional information to image data to generate information-added data (fig. 11, ref. num 63 and col. 20, lines 10-29).

Art Unit: 2136

<u>Usami et al.</u> does not teach encrypting means for encrypting the informationadded data to make it difficult to detect a position where the additional information is added, wherein said encrypting means encrypts the information-added data by randomly arranging the data.

Wong teaches encrypting means for encrypting the information-added data to make it difficult to detect a position where the additional information is added (fig. 9A, ref. num 920 and 922), wherein said encrypting means encrypts the information-added data by randomly arranging the data (col. 3, lines 10-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting information-added data in a position where it is difficult to detect, as taught by Wong, with the system/method/program of Usami et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because the confusion of watermark location provides authentication and ownership verification (see abstract of Wong).

Regarding <u>claim 3</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein said encrypting means adds key information for specifying an encryption method to the encrypted information-added data (see col. 1, lines 16-21 of Usami et al.).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 5</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein said encrypting means arranges the information-added data on the basis of a predetermined random pattern (see col. 2, lines 22-34 of Usami et al).

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the key information is information for specifying the random pattern (see col. 2, lines 22-34 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches transmitting means for transmitting the image data encrypted by said encrypting means to a connected image forming apparatus (see fig. 5 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 8</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the additional information includes first information for specifying the image forming apparatus (see col. 12, lines 39-49 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 9</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the first information is notified from the image forming apparatus (see col. 12, lines 39-49 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 10</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the additional information includes second information associated with a processing environment for the image data (see col. 4, lines 31-46 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the second information includes information for specifying the image processing apparatus (see col. 4, lines 31-46 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 16</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teaches wherein the image data is color image data made of a plurality of color components, and said adding means adds the additional information to data of a predetermined color component of the color image data (see col. 2, lines 40-53, 66-67 and col. 3, lines 1-20 of Usami et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> teaches an image processing system having an image processing apparatus connected to an image forming apparatus (fig. 5 and col. 1, lines 16-20),

Said image processing apparatus including

- Additional information generating means for generating additional information (fig. 11, ref. num 62);
- Adding means for adding the additional information to image data to generate information-added data (fig. 11, ref. num 63);

Art Unit: 2136

- Transmitting means for transmitting the encrypted image data to said image forming apparatus (fig. 5); and
   Said image forming apparatus including
- Receiving means for receiving the encrypted data transmitted from said image processing apparatus (fig. 12, ref. num 51) and
- Image forming means for forming a visible image on the basis of the decrypted information-added data (fig. 12, ref. num 65 and col. 16, lines 18-30).

<u>Usami et al.</u> does not teach encrypting means for encrypting the informationadded data to make it difficult to detect a position where the additional information is added or decrypting means for obtaining the information-added data by decrypting the received encrypted data, wherein said encrypting means encrypts the informationadded data by randomly arranging the data.

Wong teaches encrypting means for encrypting the information-added data to make it difficult to detect a position where the additional information is added and decrypting means for obtaining the information-added data by decrypting the received encrypted data (fig. 9A, ref. num 920 and 922), wherein said encrypting means encrypts the information-added data by randomly arranging the data (col. 3, lines 10-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine encrypting information-added data in a position where

Art Unit: 2136

it is difficult to detect and decrypting the encrypted information, as taught by Wong, with the system of <u>Usami et al.</u> It would have been obvious for such modifications because the confusion of watermark location provides authentication and ownership verification (see abstract of Wong).

Regarding claim 21, Usami et al. as modified by Wong teaches wherein

- Said encrypting means adds key information for specifying an encryption method to the encrypted information-added data (see col. 1, lines 16-21 of Usami et al); and
- Said decrypting means decrypts the encrypted data on the basis of the key information added by said encrypting means (see col. 16, lines 18-30 of Usami et al.).

Regarding claim 23, Usami et al. as modified by Wong teaches a storage medium storing the program defined in claim 22 (see fig. 1 of Usami et al.).

Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Usami et al.</u> (USPN '814) and <u>Wong</u> (USPN '941) in view of <u>Ito et al.</u> (US 2001/0013097 A1).

Regarding claim 12, Usami et al. as modified by Wong teach all the limitations for the following limitation. Ito et al. teaches wherein the information for specifying the

Art Unit: 2136

image processing apparatus includes a network ID of the image processing apparatus (paragraph 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Ito et al. within the system of Usami et al. Wong because a network ID is essential for the image processing apparatus to be identifiable and hence connected to a network.

Regarding claim 13, Usami et al. as modified by Wong teach all the limitations except for the following limitation. Ito et al. teaches wherein the network ID is acquired in accordance with a type of network to which the image processing apparatus is connected (paragraph 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Ito et al. within the system of Usami et al. Wong because a network ID is essential for the image processing apparatus to be identifiable and hence connected to a network.

Regarding claim 14, Usami et al. as modified by Wong teach all the limitations except for the following limitation. Ito et al. teaches wherein the information for specifying the image processing apparatus includes a user ID of the image processing apparatus (paragraph 13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of <u>Ito et al.</u> within the system of <u>Usami et al./Wong</u> because a network ID is essential for the image processing apparatus to be identifiable and hence connected to a network.

Regarding <u>claim 15</u>, <u>Usami et al.</u> as modified by <u>Wong</u> teach all the limitations except for the following limitation. <u>Ito et al.</u> teaches wherein the second information includes processing date information of the image data (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of <u>Ito et al.</u> within the system of <u>Usami et al./Wong</u> because the processing date information will enable ID of the embedded supplemental information to be unique and hence more secure.

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant argues:
  - a. Usami et al. teaches encrypting supplementary information, then embedding the encrypted supplementary information into the image data (page 3 through page 4, second paragraph).
  - b. Wong does not teach encrypting information-added data to make it difficult to detect a position where additional information is added, wherein the

Art Unit: 2136

information-added data is encrypted by randomly arranging the data (page 4, third paragraph through page 5, third paragraph).

Regarding argument (a), examiner disagrees with applicant. Usami et al. discloses that the supplementary data is embedded into the image data by a process called deep layer encryption. It is not that the supplementary data is encrypted, and then embedded. The process of embedding involves a deep layer encryption.

Regarding argument (b), examiner disagrees with applicant. Wong discloses encrypting information-added data at figure 9A, reference numbers 920 and 922. Any encryption method would "make it difficult to detect a position where additional information is added." The nature of encryption confuses (or alters) the data so that someone looking at the encrypted data can't tell where any original data is compared to the added data. Figure 9A, reference number 912 accepts values, such as image height and width. Encrypting these values would randomly arrange the data.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2136

Page 11

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-

272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BH BH

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

16,12,06